

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| 10/625,149 | 07/23/2003 | H. Downman McCarty II | | 8274 | |
| 25175 BROOKE SCI | 7590 11/06/200 HUMM III | 8 | EXAM | IINER | |
| Daneker, McIr | ntire, Schumm, Prince, C | Goldstein et al | FLORES SAN | FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR | |
| ONE NORTH SUITE 2450 | CHARLES STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BALTIMORE | , MD 21201 | | 3724 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/06/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

| | Omar Flores-Sánchez | 3724 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Omar Flores-Sánchez. | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>SCHUMM, BROOKE</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 30 October 2008. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2)☐ applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)□ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. | ı) was not reached. h) N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant calls requesting status of the case</u> . <u>Applicant was inform that the still under review</u> . | | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| /Omar Flores-Sánchez/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 | | | | | | | |